Clinical Evaluation of a Novel Nanofiber Wound Matrix for The Treatment of Chronic Lower Extremity Wounds
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Abstract

Chronic non-healing lower extremity wounds, including diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs) and venous leg ulcers (VLUs), present significant challenges for patients and wound care professionals. Chronic wounds do not progress through the standard wound healing process; instead, they persist for an average of 12 to 13 months, stalled in a prolonged inflammatory state [1]. Chronic wounds have a detrimental impact on quality of life and recur in many patients [2]. Standard wound care treatment can include debridement and offloading for DFUs and compression therapy for VLUs; however, the wounds are often difficult to treat and patients may fail to heal with standard options, thus prompting the use of advanced wound therapies.

One promising advanced therapy is the use of wound matrices, which have been designed to play an active role in wound healing. These materials act as a barrier for protection and function as a foundation for cellular ingrowth and angiogenesis, thereby promoting tissue healing and regeneration [1,3-5]. A unique, fully-synthetic nanofabricated wound matrix was recently developed for the local management of cutaneous wounds. Synthetic materials offer the benefit of minimizing risks presented by biologic matrices, including the potential for disease transmission and transplant rejection, while promoting complete healing [6]. The nanofiber wound matrix possesses characteristics conducive to wound healing, including a defined rate of resorption tailored to match the rate of new tissue ingrowth and re-epithelialization; fiber size and organization similar to native extracellular matrix; high porosity capable of supporting cellular infiltration and neovascularization; and fully synthetic biocompatible materials that have excellent handling properties suitable for clinical application [7]. This novel matrix is the first to combine the advantages of fully synthetic construction with the positive attributes of biologic matrices that support biological activity. A pre-clinical study evaluating the use of the nanofiber matrix in a clinically significant porcine wound model found that treatment with the nanofiber wound matrix resulted in faster and more complete wound closure with less inflammation and superior healing quality compared to treatment with a commercially-available xenograft control [8].

The objective of this study was to evaluate clinical experience with the nanofiber wound matrix for the treatment of a large, single-center cohort of chronic wounds.

Methods and Materials

Data were collected retrospectively by the treating physician. Data from patients with chronic wounds that had persisted for at least 4 weeks and were treated using a resorbable nanofiber wound matrix (Restrata™ Wound Matrix, Acera Surgical, St. Louis, MO) were included in this study. Consent for use of de-identified data for medical research or education was provided by all patients.

Prior to initial treatment with the nanofiber wound matrix, all wounds underwent appropriate debridement. The nanofiber wound matrix was prepared for use, including thorough fenestration of the material, and then applied to the wound surface. Following that, a non-adherent dressing was applied.

Weekly follow-up visits were performed. During these visits, patients received additional applications of the wound matrix for up to 12 weeks as deemed appropriate by the physician. Wound area measurements and observation of wound quality were assessed.

Data were collected from the medical records and analyzed by Microsoft Excel. Data collected and analyzed included patient demographics and wound type, size, duration, and disposition.

*Corresponding author: Matthew J. Regulski, DPM, Ocean County Foot & Ankle Surgical Associates, 54 Bey Lea Road, Toms River, NJ 08753, USA; Tel: (732) 505-4500; Fax: (732) 505-4500; E-mail: mregulski@comcast.net

Received: December 10, 2018; Accepted: December 20, 2018; Published: December 24, 2018

J Dermatol & Ther, 2018
Volume 2(1): 35-38
Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient and wound variables. Kaplan-Meier time-to-event analysis was performed to assess the probability of closure.

Results

Data from 70 patients with 82 wounds treated with the nanofiber wound matrix were included in the study (Table 1). Participants included 43 women (52.4%), and the average age of all patients was 71.5 years (range 25 - 93). The majority of wound types was approximately evenly divided between DFUs (34 wounds, 41.5%) and VLUs (34 wounds, 41.5%). The other wound types that were treated with the nanofiber wound matrix included pressure ulcers, traumatic and postsurgical wounds, non-venous vascular wounds, and necrotic wounds (14 wounds, 17.1%). The average wound size at baseline was 3.35 ± 4.74 cm² for all wound types. The average size of DFUs was 1.94 ± 2.17 cm², and the average VLU was 4.79 ± 6.44 cm². The average wound duration before treatment with the nanofiber wound matrix across all wound types was 36 weeks (range 4 - 132 weeks; DFUs had a longer average wound duration of 42 weeks than VLUs did of 26 weeks). The majority of patients (64 wounds, 78.0%) had failed prior advanced wound therapies, including collagen dressings, acellular matrices, skin grafts, cellular skin substitutes, hyperbaric oxygen, wound vacuum, and topical growth factors.

Overall, no adverse events were reported. Gross observations indicated that wounds treated with the nanofiber wound matrix resulted in marked improvement in wound quality and significant reduction in local inflammation (Figure 1).

The wounds demonstrated progressive and sustained wound area reduction over the course of treatment (Table 2). Overall, 53 of the 82 wounds (64.6%) achieved complete wound closure at 6 weeks, and 68 of the 82 wounds (85.0%) achieved complete closure at 12 weeks. The average time to complete wound closure was 4.8 ± 3.0 weeks. At 6 weeks, 61.8% of the DFUs and 67.6% of the VLUs achieved complete closure, and at 12 weeks, 84.8% of DFUs and 90.9% of VLUs achieved complete closure.

The proportion of wounds healed by baseline wound size generally showed minimal variation, ranging from 70.0% - 100% overall for all wounds (Figure 2).

The probability of wound closure for all wounds at 12 weeks was 85.0% (Figure 3). The probability of closure by 12 weeks was 84.8% for all DFUs, 90.9% for all VLUs, and 71.4% for other wounds.

Table 1: Study demographics and baseline wound characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All wounds (n=82)</th>
<th>DFUs (n=34)</th>
<th>VLUs (n=34)</th>
<th>Other wounds* (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%)*</td>
<td>39 (47.5%)</td>
<td>20 (58.8%)</td>
<td>14 (41.1%)</td>
<td>5 (35.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>63 (52.4%)</td>
<td>14 (41.1%)</td>
<td>20 (58.8%)</td>
<td>9 (64.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient age, years Mean ± SD</td>
<td>71.5 ± 11.7</td>
<td>69.0 ± 13.2</td>
<td>74.5 ± 11.2</td>
<td>70.9 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound age, weeks Mean ± SD</td>
<td>36 ± 32</td>
<td>42 ± 30</td>
<td>26 ± 27</td>
<td>40 ± 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound surface area, cm² Mean ± SD</td>
<td>3.35 ± 4.74</td>
<td>1.94 ± 2.17</td>
<td>4.79 ± 6.44</td>
<td>3.26 ± 3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous advanced therapies%</td>
<td>64 (78.0%)</td>
<td>26 (76.5%)</td>
<td>27 (79.4%)</td>
<td>11 (78.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other wound include pressure ulcers, postsurgical wounds, traumatic wounds, non-venous vascular wounds, and necrotic wounds
*Nine patients had two or more separate wounds

Discussion and Limitations

This retrospective study was conducted to evaluate the clinical outcomes of chronic wounds treated with a novel synthetic nanofabricated matrix. The study found that application of the nanofiber wound matrix significantly improved clinical outcomes and supported healing in challenging chronic refractory wounds, including DFUs and VLUs. After 6 to 12 weeks, nearly all of the wounds were healed, as evidenced by the fact that 68 of the 82 wounds (85.0%) achieved complete closure at 12 weeks.

These results are encouraging, particularly when compared to another study by the same treating physician using a human cellular repair matrix (h-CRM, Grafix®, Osiris Therapeutics, Inc, Columbia, MD) [9]. In that study, 66 patients with a total of 67 chronic refractory lower extremity wounds, including DFUs (27 wounds, 40.3%); VLUs (34 wounds, 50.7%), and other wounds (6 wounds, 9%), were treated with the h-CRM. The present study includes a comparable number of patients as the h-CRM study, with a higher number of patients in the present study having multiple wounds or wounds other than DFUs.
Other studies have also evaluated various modalities for the treatment of chronic lower extremity wounds with results that are less favorable than the current study. A meta-analysis examining healing rates in DFUs treated with offloading, routine debridement and saline or placebo-gel moistened gauze found that only 24.2% of the wounds were healed after 12 weeks of care [10]. In a randomized clinical trial (RCT) of patients with DFUs, treatment with wound dressings consisting of a nonadherent interface, saline-moistened gauze, dry gauze, and adhesive fixation sheets resulted in 18.3% healed control ulcers at week 12, and treatment with a human fibroblast-derived dermal substitute (Dermagraft®, Organogenesis, Inc., Canton, MA) in addition to the control regimen yielded 30% healed ulcers [11]. A multicenter RCT evaluating healing rates in VLUs found that only 34% of control wounds treated with a nonadherent dressing and a four-layer compression bandaging system and 55% of wounds treated with small intestine submucosa (OASIS® Matrix, Smith & Nephew, Inc., Fort Worth, TX) in addition to the nonadherent dressing and compression therapy exhibited complete healing at 12 weeks [12]. In another multicenter RCT evaluating healing rates in VLUs, results revealed that only 49% of control wounds treated with compression therapy using a self-adherent elastic wrap placed at midstretch tension and 63% of wounds treated with a bi-layered cell based product (Apligraf®, Organogenesis, Inc., Canton, MA) plus compression healed at 6 months [13].

The ability of the nanofiber wound matrix to heal these challenging refractory wounds so effectively may be attributed in part to its proposed mechanism of action, the facilitation of mesenchymal stem cell infiltration and retention and modulation of the inflammatory response. It is well-accepted that blood-borne immunocompetent cells invade the wound site during the inflammatory phase of wound healing, but evidence has revealed that bone marrow-derived stem cells are also recruited into the wound area [14,15]. These mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been shown to engraft to wounded skin, transcribe both collagen types I and III, incorporate and differentiate into skin structures, reconstitute the dermal fibroblast population, improve vasculogenesis, and promote closure of nonhealing wounds [14-16]. MSCs have also been shown to have anti-inflammatory effects on the wound healing process; they can respond to the degree of inflammation in the microenvironment by releasing growth factors and cytokines to reduce the inflammatory process; they can respond to the degree of inflammation in the microenvironment by releasing growth factors and cytokines to reduce the inflammatory process, and scaffolds have been found to augment stem cell efficacy [17,18]. The nanofiber wound matrix may play an important role in facilitating MSC homing and retention in the wound site, modulating the inflammatory response, and encouraging wound healing.

The findings from this study indicate that the nanofiber wound matrix is well-suited to support healing of challenging chronic wounds and is well-tolerated by patients. There are limitations to the study, including the lack of a control group and lack of randomization. Additional clinical studies are planned and ongoing to further evaluate the clinical efficacy of the nanofiber wound matrix, investigate its scientific mechanism, and inform the hypotheses of a future randomized controlled trial.

Conclusions

Chronic wounds, particularly those refractory to existing treatment modalities, present significant challenges in the clinical setting. A novel and effective solution for the treatment of chronic wounds in the lower extremity is a nanofiber wound matrix that was shown to reduce local inflammation and heal refractory wounds. More than 80% of nanofiber matrix-treated wounds were healed after 12 weeks of care after being refractory to other treatment options, and the average time to complete wound closure was less than 5 weeks. This nanofiber matrix may provide significant benefits as part of the treatment algorithm for challenging chronic wounds, and future
Clinical studies are warranted to determine optimal conditions for clinical use.
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